The Business Process of Small and Medium Portuguese Family Businesses: A Reindustrialization Case Study

Objective: To understand, through a concrete case study, the management process of small and medium-sized Portuguese family businesses in the face of the phenomenon of reindustrialization in Europe. In this case study we show how
a company can react to the process by adopting a new management model, despite the factory being almost inactive for several years. The final idea is to demonstrate that this is a new reindustrialization movement, which does not perform in the same way as in the past.

**Methodology:** Considering that we want an innovative and continuously learning organization, we intend to develop from a theoretical point of view the European Foundation for Quality model (EFQM) and then apply the improvement and management actions in each one of its aspects in order to promote and evaluate permanent and continuous improvement. For this proposal we use the change and organizational development method.

**Findings:** Leadership is a topic with particular importance in this process of transformation, and in this case in particular, through changes in the shareholder body, generational changes and especially by the inclusion of the professional management team, for the improvement of the key performance indicators, including the EFQM score.

**Value Added:** The implementation of a management model will lead management to a leadership style conducive to value creation and results in terms of quality, and human and financial capital based on effective organizational performance.

**Recommendations:** Phased implementation, with the creation and development of pilot areas, is particularly beneficial to an organization’s culture, and also for engaging people via the cascading communication process.
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Introduction

In our introduction we will analyse the macro message of the Portuguese minister of foreign affairs (Santos Silva, 2020).

Pandemic Showed Need for Reindustrialization of Europe

The economic crisis provoked by the response to the Covid-19 pandemic forced us “to learn some lessons that could be an opportunity for Portugal and Europe”, said the Minister of State and Foreign Affairs, Augusto Santos Silva (2020), at a parliamentary hearing of the Economy commission, Innovation, Public Works and Housing.

One of the lessons is that the European economy needs to reintroduce industries that have been lost to other continents, and this reindustrialization could be an opportunity for Portugal, said the Minister, who is responsible for the internationalization of the Portuguese economy (Santos Silva, 2020).

Portugal has important assets that it can and should use: “the qualification of human resources, the plurilingual domain, technology, the quality of service and the quality of the knowledge and innovation ecosystem, as well as the areas in which it is dominant today, starting with renewable energy” (Santos Silva, 2020).

Europe, Africa and Latin America

At the same time, it must have a dual-direction strategy that addresses the European domestic market – the destination of three quarters of Portuguese exports – and also the foreign market, with particular interest in Africa and Latin America (Santos Silva, 2020).

“Portugal wants to be at the forefront of Europe’s reindustrialization and wants to put its enormous industrial capabilities at the service of Europe”, said Augusto Santos Silva, pointing to textiles, clothing, footwear, engineering, pharmaceuticals and agri-food.

“Portugal wants to be a factor of industrialization, a powerful industrial cluster in the Europe of re-industrialisation”, he said, pointing to the “huge
advantages from the point of view of human security, improving the quality of its health systems, public safety and the conditions of life and well-being”, which are also “an essential asset for the tourism sector”.

**Portugal Image**

The Minister, Santos Silva (2020), also stated that “the country’s image is reinforced in this crisis in different dimensions”, referring to “political unity and institutional concertation”, while maintaining “all the constituent elements of a living democracy with a multi-party parliamentary base [...] This idea of political unity is one of the most important points for the country’s image.”

However, “the most important element” was the responsiveness that Portugal showed, with “the resilience of the National Health Service being one of the most highlighted elements in the circles that count in Europe: political, economic, business, union and naturally, public opinion too” (Santos Silva, 2020).

The case study was performed on a Portuguese industrial company founded in 1920, whose shareholder body has remained within the same family to this day. Since 2014 it has been managed by the third and fourth generation, assisted by a group of professional managers, one of whom is a member of the Board of Directors, together with the family elements (Speitzer & Quinn, 2001). The initial idea of the intervention was to prepare for the advanced change in the models and management systems, until then supported by the logic and principles of ‘Mass Production’ (Womack, Jones, & Ross, 2010), to the philosophy of ‘Lean Production’ with all the significant impacts of organizational transformation (Quinn, 2006) and (Lopes, 2011). The work goes through several phases, which analyse the principles of strategic management, the definition of objectives and the effective implementation of strategies (Grant, 2016). The initial change would always have to be supported by fundamental pillars of action, in which we highlight the clear definitions of purpose, the study of processes of greater preponderance in the management of the company and the clear involvement of people at all hierarchical levels fostering learning (Shook, 2010; Schnitker et al., 2016).

The great challenge would always be the coexistence of the experience and history of the company with the application of modern and current
management techniques and tools, considering the strength of the name and not the brand of the organization, as the aim is to test the model on a Portuguese traditional industrial company, which had 180 employees at the start of the new wave of industrial activity, before moving to 300 employees, following the new approach and consequent growth in business.

As the company operates by transforming metalwork for agricultural, transport and spare parts markets, a real industry approach and at the same time a modern management model is crucially important, alongside an engineering and manufacturing approach (Womack, Jones, & Ross, 2010).

For the development of the company’s fundamental organizational pillars, strategic base axes were created, which allowed us to elaborate action plans that support the company’s capacity to implement the basic ideas of each of them (Papanikos, 2010).

In this specific case, the strategic axes were defined and registered from a meeting of managers, carried out and organized by the top management, and then their conclusions were presented to the company’s shareholders, considering that this would define the company for the year 2020 and beyond, namely: High levels of communication; Improve teamwork; Participation, involvement, commitment of all people; Listen and visit the Clients; Work with Quality and Safety; and Be Resilient.

As a way of developing the defined, negotiated and approved strategic axes, we are monitoring its evolution through the EFQM (European Foundation for Quality Management) model, which also allows us to dilute the accentuated hierarchical effects to a more flexible and collaborative culture (Lopes, 2016), thus enabling leadership based on competencies and relationships in order to create a networked culture.

The basic idea is to demonstrate the extent to which management culture in Portugal, or of Portuguese managers, is in line with the application of an organization’s own models of management, supported by systems developed in a holistic way and that lead performance in its several strands or pillars, in a context of industrial (manufacturing) recovery.
Methodology

*Change and Organizational Development Project*

The so-called ‘Project for Change and Organizational Development’ (Kotter, 2008) was the model chosen by top management to ensure the necessary process of change, as well as compliance with the business plan. The model is supported by the European Foundation for Quality Management, where the different phases and tools applied are evaluated by the full model score, which reflects the management processes’ improvement in response to the new industrial challenge.

The model to be developed is supported by three fundamental pillars that allow its development in phases, and allow its permanent monitoring and evolution: (i) Management System: Consolidation and Sustainability; (ii) Themes: Context, stakeholders, leadership and strategy; (iii) Lean Global Management Philosophy (Womack, Jones, & Ross, 2010).

*Management System – Consolidation and Sustainability (Context)*

Considering that we want an innovative and continuously learning organization, we intend to develop from a theoretical point of view the EFQM model and then apply the improvement and management actions in each one of its aspects in order to promote and evaluate the permanent and continuous improvement.

We then describe the model from the generic point of view, showing the improvement actions chosen by the top management of the company, considering the connection of the functional areas to the points treated in the respective activity plans described (Flick, 2002).

In the concrete case study, top management conducted its priorities for action and follow-up with the same intervention logic, highlighting the actions in terms of direct intervention in (i) leadership training (passing to intermediate levels) (ii) policy definition (iii) redefinition and management of business processes (LEAN implementation works) (iv) performance indicators (follow-up and performance using the Scorecard).
Phased Implementation

The project was defined in six phases for its implementation in which the basic idea was the management of an implementation process, considering the fundamental aspects of experimentation, communication, diffusion and adoption of best practices. In this way the following sequential and evolutionary phases were evidenced as we described: (i) Definition of Macro Project with executive management – present, explain and discuss the model in November 2018; (ii). Communicate to the middle managers – present and explain to all heads the areas, philosophy and objectives to start the process in January 2019; (iii) Pilot areas – jointly define the initial area or areas of intervention and implement in January 2019 (note: integrating comments and opportunities for improvement of external audits of quality and customer certification); (iv) Results Evaluation – implement the procedure in the pilot area (s) and then evaluate results in March 2019; (v) Expand by organization – define the following areas and continue the process in order to achieve 80% implementation by November 2019, in April 2019; (vi) Continuity – continuous and permanent improvement should enter into the evolving organization’s “lifestyles” in July 2019, at which point management and improvement cycles should be supported by the DMAIC / PDCA as complementary methodologies (Basu, 2011).

Improvement Cycles

Knowing that the decision on the methodology to be adopted falls on the DMAIC cycle, complemented by the PDCA cycle, it will be interesting to compare the two methodologies and these with the methodology of project management, often used in isolated project management, such as the improvement or the investment in a certain critical process at a given time (Nokes, Dole, & Hacker, 2007; Basu, 2011).

Thus, in table 1 we compare the three methodologies showing their complementarity:

Our choice of follow-up and use was clearly based on the DMAIC cycle, complemented by GP (where applicable) and PDCA (for timely improvement cycles), so we characterized it in detail in table 2 and table 3 (Basu, 2011).
Table 1. Methodologies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Management</th>
<th>Define</th>
<th>Organize</th>
<th>Implement</th>
<th>Close</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMAIC</td>
<td>Define</td>
<td>Measure</td>
<td>Analyze</td>
<td>Improve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDCA</td>
<td>Plan</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Check</td>
<td>Act</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: (Basu, 2011).

The description of each phase of the DMAIC improvement cycle, adopted in the present case study, should then be complemented and configured with the inclusion of the Lean management tools to be used in each of the phases (Basu, 2011).

Table 2. Methodology DMAIC cycle details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Define</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Analyze</th>
<th>Improve</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reason for project realization</td>
<td>How can we measure the current moment</td>
<td>Deviations and obstacles and cause of problems</td>
<td>Actions to be implemented to correct and improve</td>
<td>Results, risks and progress report</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: (Basu, 2011).

Table 3. Methodology DMAIC cycle details for each phase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Define</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Analyze</th>
<th>Improve</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description of processes in phases</td>
<td>Graphs and charts with indicator values</td>
<td>SWOT Analysis Five Whys? Pareto and ABC analysis</td>
<td>5S SETUP Reductions and Non-Productive Time</td>
<td>GANTT PDCA Control maps of Action Plan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: (Basu, 2011).

When using the various phases of the DMAIC cycle, as well as of the respective tools, one should always keep in mind the involvement of the people of the teams in the areas under analysis.
**Follow-up Model**

The Management Follow-up Model is the BSC – Balanced Scorecard (Kaplan & Norton, 2016) – described and designed on the basis of strategic maps organized in four perspectives, namely: Financial Perspective; Customer Perspective; Perspectives on Internal Processes; and Perspectives on Learning and Growth.

The philosophy used and recommended by Kaplan and Norton is the organization of the management indicators, by the four elements of the model. In table 4 we illustrate the specific case of our study:

**Table 4. Management Follow-up Model (BSC)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perspective</th>
<th>Key Performance Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Financial</td>
<td>Cash Flow; EBITDA; Management Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customers</td>
<td>Sales; Relative Quota; Competition; Margins; Complaints and NPS (Net Promoter Score)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal processes</td>
<td>Stocks; Capacity; Efficiency; NPS; Unconformities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning and Growth</td>
<td>New products; Knowledge; Formation; Absenteeism; Environment and Safety; New Markets and Customers; Information systems</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: (Kaplan & Norton, 2016).

The report will always be presented in graphs against objectives, considering the historical evolution of each indicator, time and space for eventual comments and frequency of follow-up (Kaplan & Norton, 2016).

**Evolution according to the Model (EFQM)**

In the tables and graphs below, we can verify the score of each of the areas of the model, which has as maximum possible score 1000 (thousand points), divided by the various areas according to their respective percentage weights, still within their two fundamental elements of means and results (table 5).
Table 5. Fundamental Elements of the Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model area</th>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Weight %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Means</td>
<td>Leadership</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>People</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Politics and Strategy</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Partnerships and Resources</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Processes</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results</td>
<td>Results of People</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Customer results</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social Results</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Performance indicators</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: EFQM

According to the table and with the appraisal applied to the executive management of the company the results were obtained in graph 1 (January 2019).

Graph 1. Initial EFQM Company Results

Source: company data assessment.
From reading the results obtained in early 2019, we observed the global value of 54%, representing 540 points in 1000.

In graph 2 we present the results, which we consider to be intermediate for the current management cycle, considering that the end of the observation would be the end of 2021.

**Graph 2. Intermediate EFQM Company Results**

![Intermediate Evaluation](image)

Source: company data assessment.

We observed that 73% of the total value represented 730 points out of 1,000, and that the improvement occurred in all elements of evaluation, with particular emphasis on the evolution of leadership and processes, not being extraneous to the implementation of the Lean management philosophy (Shook, 2010; Leaper, 2017).

**Risk Management**

In today’s changing context, shareholders have been clamouring for greater transparency about the risks organizations face. There is growing recognition that
proactively coordinating all risks in an integrated way is critical to success, so traditional approaches to risk management are no longer sufficient (Kumar, 2021).

**Risk Management Model**

The risk management model was based on the following five areas considering the business risk control approach (Kendall, 1998): (i) Market Risk – Measured by sales results and margins against the budget (BCG Consulting Group) and Porter’s five-force diagram in Grant, 2016; (ii) Credit Risk – Considering the approval and policy of credit to be granted, insurance and obtaining credit from third parties and banking; (iii) Operational Risk – Brand image and company reputation (NPS), product quality, project development, contingency plans and incident recovery (DRP); (iv) Legal risk – Management of Contracts, present and future litigation, compliance with commercial, labour and tax legislation; (v) Risk of Information and Communication Systems – Classification of information required as “confidential” and security of information and communication systems (Ross & Francis, 2003).

**Interested Parts – Reason for Existence and Value Creation**

Value creation, in fact, the essence of the existence of companies, was defined as the generation of results for customers and shareholders, illustrated by the diagram showing an evolutionary approach supported by several pillars and strategic actions that we have described (Black, Wright, & Davies, 2000): Define objectives in all areas of the company; Solve problems – what problems? – it will be the work to be done; Work to be carried out through the intervention and through the business processes; Involving the teams and having the means available; and finally we have to improve and evolve: (i) By increasing the knowledge and skills of our people; (ii). By improving attitudes, behaviours, and leading by example; this is in fact the management system, including the meetings we hold to track the evolution of processes and objectives (Cameron et al., 2006).
Performance Model and Objectives

In the concrete case study, the principles of action that support the Performance Model and Objectives were developed: (i) Managing People for improvement, adaptability and results; (ii) Increasing performance levels through process practices; (iii) Practicing and experimenting to become more comfortable in the field of processes; (iv) Working with greater individual and collective effectiveness (Schuh, Lenders, & Hieber, 2008).

LEAN Transformation

The management of the change process that supports the Lean philosophy’s implementation path from the behavioural point of view is based on its five-dimensional characterization and on a fundamental premise “not to speak in a vague way” (Shook, 2010). The dimensions of support to the process of change are: (i) The typology of problems we are here to solve; (ii) Knowledge and skills of the people; (iii) Work to be performed permanently and the follow-up model; (iv) Management and Behaviour System; (v) Ability to think every day to improve things (Shook, 2010).

How the Lean System Works

The operation of the Lean System is directly related to the logic of creating value for clients and shareholders, in this perspective the behavioural dimension supported by strong leadership styles and guided by the example of management and the development of long-term, internal and external partnerships (Shook, 2010).

The System is not in line with certain management situations, which it repudiates and avoids through good process practices; the main situations are related to late deliveries to clients and the maintenance of high levels of inventories, which cause storage limitations and maintain assets without utility (Womack & Jones, 2016).
In terms of management approach, financial indicators should not be the basis of the follow-up model, but rather the operational ones, making the former a natural consequence of the latter, reflected in the budget (Womack & Jones, 2016; Basu, 2011).

**Model LEAN – Company**

In the Company case study the Lean management system was designed and conceived in four fundamental areas of basic performance, which are then developed according to the concepts or processes that support them. In table 6 we represent the conceptual model with the natural interconnection of the defined strategic plan, taking into account the four areas of action that we describe as: Definition of the Purpose of the actions; Involvement of People; Continuous Improvement Cycle (PDCA); List, description and transformation of all company processes (Shook, 2010).

**Table 6. Company Lean Model**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>People</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mission</td>
<td>Culture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Value</td>
<td>Learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goals</td>
<td>Leadership</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PDCA</th>
<th>Processes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Problem-solving</td>
<td>5S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadence of Work</td>
<td>Standard Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership Involvement</td>
<td>Production Flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Visual management</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: company data.

After the representation and construction of the conceptual model we must implement the Lean – design model, which is the application of the concepts to the company’s reality. We represent the scheme of the model in table 7.
Table 7. Lean Model Development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>People</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improve Efficiency</td>
<td>Leadership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve quality and safety at work rates</td>
<td>Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce costs</td>
<td>Communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objectives of the strategic plan and activities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PDCA</th>
<th>Processes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Troubleshooting</td>
<td>5S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Cadence</td>
<td>Standard Times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership Involvement</td>
<td>Flows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Visual management</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: company data of applied model.

The application areas coincide with the conceptual model in terms of macro definition; we will then develop the model in practice and in numbers (table 8).

Table 8. In practice and in numbers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All lined up to keep</th>
<th>First round improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Better internal instructions between areas</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve reaction to error correction</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doing good first by reducing unplanned operations</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve supplier selection</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Much greater attention to customers to avoid hassles and unnecessary rework</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: company data reports.

The significant improvements in operational indicators are reflected in the company’s economic and financial indicators, through reduction and optimization of costs, which also improve its competitiveness through the adjustment of the sales price strategy to the reality of the markets, greater agility in change and better performance in terms of quality (Nicholas & Nicholas, 2010).
**Evolution of Lean Implementation**

The evaluation model adopted for the evaluation of the Lean implementation level was based on the LAI – Lean Advancement Initiative of the MIT – Massachusetts Institute of Technology, which presents the analysis of the system in six fundamental points: Involvement of People; Value creation; Value Chain; Flow of Processes; Notion of “Pull” and Index of Perfection.

The following are four key levels of Lean implementation in organizations: (i) Level 1 (use of Lean tools); (ii) Level 2 (creation of flow in processes); (iii) Level 3 (development of a Lean system); Level 4 (consolidation of the system with industry automation 4.0).

In the case study, in 2019–2020 we present an average score of 2.63, distributed by the six factors according to the following graphs.

**Graph 3.** Company Model Lean level

Source: company data assessments.
The values show an implementation level of 66% in relation to the objective, knowing that according to the LAI / MIT model the actual level of (2.63) compared to the maximum of (4) represents that the organization is in the implementation phase process flow and in-line systemic approach represented by level (3).

**The Lean Method Followed**

The Lean method followed balances and integrates three fundamental concepts of all Lean models, which define the Purpose for the realization of all actions, projects and programs, that is, the reason or reasons why it is going to be a realized event, whether strategic, tactical or operational (Womack, 2006).

**Flow Time**

In table 9 we represent what in practical terms is meant by value and waste, in order to illustrate the ways of acting in order to maximize the former and reduce or eliminate the second, which is the core essence of the Lean management philosophy.
Table 9. Flow and Waste

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Waste</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provider</td>
<td>Inventory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing products</td>
<td>Rework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production</td>
<td>Waiting time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Client</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: company data.

The functioning of the system does not depend on how each part or area acts, but how each one integrates with the others.

**Leadership with the Value Chain**

In the concrete case study, we intend to support the execution of strategies, and the implementation and management of the new governance and performance model, in a leadership philosophy known as the ‘Global Value Chain of the Company – Operational Excellence’, which creates optimization (Lopes, 2011, 2016) and which is a new, less hierarchical, more participatory and responsible approach (Lopes, 2011, 2016).

The value chain we speak of enables the company’s leadership in an integrated way to achieve the results expressed in the objectives, thus creating value for clients and shareholders (Cameron et al., 2006).

In practical and real terms, the top leadership is exercised by an executive management body, an ‘executive committee’, represented by the directors and coordinated by the CEO who establishes a connection with the Board of Directors, and who serves as Executive Director (Lawrence, Lenk, & Quinn, 2009).

**Actions Plan**

The set of these actions and their integration with the company’s strategic principles base and the main performance of the top management, allow permanent contact with the operational terrain (Gemba) (Womack & Jones, 2016), thus doing justice to one of the basic principles’ leadership role in the
logic and spirit of Lean management – “go with your own eyes and lead by example” (Shook, 2010).

**Value Creation Flows**

The identified flows are (i) the productive flow, supported by the factories and their productive processes; (ii) the flow of materials, referring to all movements of materials within the company and by the values of inventories and their locations; (iii) the flow of information, usually referred to as “from ordering to receiving the customer” (Womack & Jones, 2016).

**Productive Flow**

The great organizational innovation in the area of operations and the production flow of the company was based on ‘Takt Time’ – the contribution of each operation to the total production process, which allowed for profound changes in the form and management model of industrial processes, allowing its balancing (Womack & Jones, 2016) (table 10).

**Table 10.** Productive Flow

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factories</th>
<th>Base. Processes</th>
<th>Takt Time %</th>
<th>People in Production</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parts and Components</td>
<td>Cutting, forging, CNC, shafts and cylinders</td>
<td>38.74%</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing and Assembly (SJ)</td>
<td>Bending, Assembling and Robotics, Final Assembly, Stripping and Painting</td>
<td>54.45%</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing and Assembly (A)</td>
<td>Bending, Assembling and Robotics, Final Assembly, Stripping and Painting</td>
<td>6.81%</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: company data.

As we can see from the figure, we know the importance in terms of weighting in the overall process of each of the productive units, with parts and components representing 38.74%, manufacturing and assembly 54.45% and manufacturing and complementary assembly 6.81%.
Materials Flow

The flow of materials was designed to follow the production flow, considering its continuous optimization, with the clear objective of working without the wastes of waiting, lack of materials, excess production and excess stock (table 11).

**Table 11. Materials Flow**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Typology</th>
<th>Raw Material (Warehouse)</th>
<th>Intermediate Warehouses</th>
<th>Shipping (Warehouse)</th>
<th>Production Sections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raw Material</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finished Goods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work in Progress</td>
<td></td>
<td>19%</td>
<td></td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: company data.

After analysing and implementing corrective measures, the company’s global stock fell by 38% in 3 years, representing a significant gain in turnover, improvement in working capital and, consequently, in cash flow. This in terms of results, from the operational point of view improved the liberation and management of space, procurement and procurement policy and also the reduction of the levels of risk of obsolescence.

Information Flow

The organization and good management of this value chain are of central importance for the success of almost all the processes of the company, considering that they will be the following four areas: (i) Markets, clients, marketing and engineering; (ii) Business Management; (iii) Production planning and control; (iv) Shipment to customers, which we detail and explain in table 12.
Table 12. Information Flow

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Markets</th>
<th>Customers</th>
<th>Engineering</th>
<th>Market Studies and Projects</th>
<th>Sales Plans</th>
<th>Offers</th>
<th>Price Negotiation</th>
<th>Orders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management of Business</td>
<td>Sales Plan</td>
<td>Production Plan</td>
<td>Tracking and Correction</td>
<td>Delivery Plan</td>
<td>Shipping</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan and Production Control</td>
<td>Capacity Studies</td>
<td>Factory Orders</td>
<td>Control of Real VS Plans</td>
<td>Sales Plans</td>
<td>Delivery and Quality Documents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shipping to Customers</td>
<td>Packing List</td>
<td>Invoices</td>
<td>Destination and Means of payment</td>
<td>Technical and Quality Documents</td>
<td>Receipt and Documents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: company data.

The optimization of the information flow was based on the PDCA tool, previously presented, that allows the constant verification and correction of the processes (Womack & Jones, 2016).

**Strategy and Deployment – Business Chain**

The immediate bet markets are clearly competitive, but they will be future bets, such as: Iberia, Algeria, France and Central and Southern Africa. These markets have different approach characteristics, but there are some common denominators, such as aggressive price policies, the usefulness and appropriateness of the solutions, and a great deal of sensitivity to the various marketing policies, whether they are advertising, or distribution channels and services, and after-sales service (Kotler & Keller, 2014).

In Table 13 the main illustrative values of strategies for the development of the business chain are illustrated.

Table 13. Business Chain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Markets</th>
<th>Ibéria</th>
<th>Algeria</th>
<th>Balkans</th>
<th>France</th>
<th>Rest of Africa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distribution</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: company data.
In general terms, 38% growth has been achieved in which international activity, considering the nuanced position of the Spanish market, currently accounts for 70% of the company’s business, whereas previously it represented 59%, even though there is still strong growth in the domestic market (Holweg, 2006).

**Company Competitiveness**

The competitiveness of companies is supported by their ability to execute their strategies, which in turn is supported by various elements of development and evaluation, from the constant adaptation of their structure, optimization of business processes in favour of productivity increases and optimization and cost reduction (Haidar, 2012).

The interconnection of these factors with their Research & Development capacity, translated into the creation and launching of new products, services and solutions, for customers and markets, are factors of measurement of competitiveness with particular importance (Grant, 2016).

In table 14 we show the reduction of costs from the perspective of the optimization of processes reducing the categories of waste related to excess inventories, rework operations and waiting times (Desai, Ferri, & Treadwell, 2009).

**Table 14.** Process Cost Reduction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste</th>
<th>Cost level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excess Inventory</td>
<td>20.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rework operations</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiting time</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: company data.

In addition to the three cost areas highlighted, the management costs related to the budgetary deviations, which in this specific case represent (27.9%), are also subject to action. This is one of the first steps taken and is the responsibility of the company’s executive management structure, thus promoting accountability and greater effectiveness in solving problems.
In table 15 we report the cost analysis from the perspective of the quality costs according to the methodology of (Crosby) (Russel & Taylor, 2014). This observes and measures each of the elements of cost more directly and effectively, and as a consequence provides insight in how to rationalize and optimize costs.

**Table 15. Quality Cost Improvement**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Costs in percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prevention</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Failures</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Faults</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: company data.

From the analysis of the table’s data we observed that the prevention category (35%) is connoted with rationalizations of structure and framework costs, the evaluation category (25%) is related to models and methods of quality management, relying more and more on scientific aspects of statistical control and self-monitoring (Piper, 2010–2011).

In the following categories we relate internal and external faults; internal faults (30%) are typically related to manufacturing nonconformities or support areas, which must be followed and corrected by quality reports, and external faults are normally attributed to defects found in supplies or third-party information.

The improvements of (14%) already verified and of more (12%) forecasted, will surely be implemented through the combination of sales growth and cost rationalization, concretely doing more with less (Womack & Jones, 2016).

**Management Indicators Analyses**

The management indicators were outlined in three fundamental categories, which allow us to follow and evaluate the performance of the processes, as well as the operational and financial impact of the integration of the various
categories, which are: Competitiveness Indicators; Economic indicators; and Operational and Financial Indicators (Hejazi & Dastjerdi, 2015).

**Competitiveness Indicators**

In table 16 we present the main competitiveness indicators adopted and developed, through their application and adaptation to the reality of the organization and business, with the principles of increasing the qualitative and quantitative competitiveness indexes.

**Table 16.** Key Performance Indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KPI</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost savings on process flows</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction of product and project development times</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased Engineering capacity – charge new projects</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved Customer Satisfaction – NPS – Net Promoter Score</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: company data.

From the observation of the evolution of the competitiveness indicators, we can highlight the qualitative improvement through the NPS – Net Promoter Score (80%), by listening to customers’ opinions about the company’s performance in various parameters (Kaplan & Anderson, 2007; Namazi, 2016).

**Operational, Economic and Financial Indicators**

In table 17 we analyse the evolution of the economic and financial indicators (first table) but mainly how the link between the operational indicators and the economic and financial indicators translates into a good performance as a consequence of the previous ones (Damodaran, 2019 & 2020).
Table 17. Operational, Economic and Financial Indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KPI</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stock Turnover</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working Capital</td>
<td>4.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBIT level</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Cash Flow</td>
<td>46.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategic KPI</th>
<th>Operational effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stock Turnover</td>
<td>Inventory reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working Capital</td>
<td>Stocks and Collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Satisfaction</td>
<td>Customer Complains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBIT Level</td>
<td>OEE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Cash Flow</td>
<td>Sales improvement, Investments and cost management</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: company data.

The evolution of the economic and financial indicators are clearly the consequence of the operational indicators (Kraclauer, Janssen, & Dorr, 2010), as shown in the table, where the evolution of values is in stock rotation (3.2%); Working Capital (4.65%); EBITDA margin (15.6%); and Net Cash Flow (46.7%).

The clear implication is value creation comes from sound management and is reflected in the results of the actions, in the return results to shareholders, represented by the Working Capital, EBIT and Net Cash Flow indicators (Emiliani, 2007).

**Financial Impact Lesson**

By working on the operational indicators, we already know that the financial impact has to be a natural consequence of their good performance, so the Profit is always equal to the Price minus the Cost, never, the Price is equal to the Cost-plus Profit, Profit is an opinion, Cash Flow is a reality. The generation of value is measured by the Return on Invested Capital indicator, which is shown in the formula: \( RCI = \frac{EBIT}{Sales \times \frac{Sales}{Investment}} \), where the first factor represents the profit margin and the second the return on capital (Emiliani, 2007).
Results

We would like to understand the impact of all the actions on the improved results of the indicators that impact the EFQM score, that is, the normal management flow of a company.

According to (Cameron et al., 2006), the implementation of a management model will lead management to a leadership style conducive to value creation and results in terms of quality, human and financial capital based on effective organizational performance.

Development and implementation of the strategic plan: Strategic Map, Actions and Strategic Objectives, until then non-existent.

Plan of Activities: Annual objective, maps of implementation of the strategy, deployment by functional areas and documents related to the strategic plan, which allow the common guidance of management units, such as (i) Market Studies; (ii) Business Plans; and Quality Plans (Womack, 2006).

Project of Change and organizational development according to Kotter (2008), was the model chosen by the top management as a way to guarantee its systemic implementation, based on the following pillars of action: (i) Top Management Actions; (ii) Definition of Objectives; and (iii) Implementation Practices, supported by the change in Company Culture (Values and Behaviour).

(Context), In our localized approach at the level of the EFQM model, the systemic thinking of Katz and Kahn (in Grant, 2012), through the open systems model, evidence the general environment and the organizational context, creating the process of functioning through the inclusion of resources for the production of ‘outputs’, modified by the elements characterizing the context, such as: (i) Culture; (ii) Objectives and Strategies; (iii) Behaviour; (iv) Processes; (v) Technology; and (vi) Structure.
Discussion

Phased implementation, with the creation and development of pilot areas, is particularly beneficial to an organization’s culture, whilst it also engages people via a cascading communication process. As a qualitative process, it is reflected in the improved indicators’ results, already reported in tables 14, 15, 16 and 17, and takes into consideration the risk management approach, where the results demonstrate the improvement % in key performance indicators, from the first period up to the present.

The entry into the ‘way of life’ of the company when defining the long, medium and short-term improvement cycles, in which the DMAIC and PDCA cycles are used in an integrated and complementary manner (Basu, 2011), through the Lean tools.

According to (Kaplan & Norton, 2016) the use of the Balanced Scorecard allows the organization and correct allocation of the management indicators, thus ensuring its correct and effective follow-up to the objectives.

Improvement of the overall EFQM index by 35%, passing in one year from 540 to 730 points, which is the main key performance indicator we have used to understand the overall improvement.

Implementation of the corporate risk management model based on five pillars: (1) Market Risk; (2) Credit Risk; (3) Operational Risk; (4) Legal Risk; and (5) Information Systems Risk (Kendall, 1998).

The development of the model considering the Stakeholders: Clients and Shareholders, leading to value creation, which according to Black, Wright and Davies (2000) is achieved by setting goals in all areas of the company, solving problems, optimizing the processes of the business and involving the teams with the appropriate means. According to Cameron, Quinn, DeGraff and Thakor (2006), the strength of value creation stems from the model of action and achievement of objectives through the Lean transformation (Shook, 2010).

Strongly active behaviour of top and middle management, according to (Quinn, 2004), transitions from the normal state to the fundamental state of leadership.

Management of skills, knowledge and talent, provides the team’s success (Cascão, 2014).
Strategy and Deployment: always associated with the business chain, supported by the diversity and segmentation axes, marketing policies and established criteria, such as the geographic distribution network (Kotler & Keller, 2014).

Company competitiveness – supported by cost optimization of internal business processes, considering the categories of: (i) Excess stocks; (ii) Rework Operations; (iii) Waiting times; in addition to the budgetary deviations that represented (27.9%) deviations in costs, which is one of the rationalization opportunities.

Implementation of the analysis of quality costs in four categories: (1) Prevention (35%); (2) Evaluation (25%); (3) Internal failures (30%); and External Failures (10%).

Leadership Behaviour, according to Shook (2010), should be focused on management by example, having all people involved and committed, putting know-how ahead of “think what”, instilling principles of continuous improvement, testing before opting for solutions to market problems or needs, building trust in teams and people, developing mutual trust, developing people first and foremost as products, accomplishing all this and dealing with day-to-day functions.

Analysis of the data and results of the management indicators, which according to (Hejazi & Dastjerdi, 2015) are divided into categories: (i) Competitiveness (with changes between 40% and 80%), whether qualitative or quantitative; (ii) Operating, Economic and Financial (with changes between 3.2% and 46.7%).

Conclusion

The initial process of implementing the Lean management philosophy in the company made it possible to understand that the main objective of this action would be to create, develop and apply a management model adapted to the organization that should be based on the Lean system, integrating diverse tools and concepts that would allow it to be present in the vanguard of the current management.

Given the increasing demand of markets, and the introduction of new and more demanding customers, this was the most effective approach in terms of quality.

The strong penetration in the international area of business, agricultural and transportation units, and of course the gathering of customers in the
current business, combined with the industry and automotive markets, also created the need for the Company Management to evolve at all levels.

With the implementation of the Management Model – and at the beginning of the task, we did not know we could proceed in this direction – we conclude that the modular and systemic logic allows to verify that:

All organizations, their teams and all people need a defined path to be motivated in pursuit of common goals (Shook, 2010; Womack & Jones, 2016).

The key tool or element for defining common goals is strategy definition through the creation and development of strategic, coherent and participatory maps.

The consolidation of the strategic maps must be carried out in a management system that is a strategic plan, embodied in terms of valuation, and rational in terms of the budget and business plans (Kaplan & Norton, 2016).

According to (Grant, 2012) it is fundamental that a company implements within each functional area strategies for motivating people and for the definition and follow-up of objectives.

Areas in which the priorities for action are defined – the strategies to be developed, the target areas of action, indicators of progress and objectives – according to Grant (2002) can be successfully implemented.

Risk Analysis and Initial Diagnosis – the involvement of the most experienced teams in this phase was central to defining the main problems and opportunities for improvement, according to (Shook, 2010; Womack & Jones, 2016).

The task holders in the various processes are the most knowledgeable of their difficulties, but whether they know how to solve them is another issue, one which we had to change (Abzari, Ghorbani, & Madani, 2011).

The Project for Change and Organizational Development was developed and implemented based on the following aspects: Management System – Consolidation and Sustainability (context); Phased implementation methodology, designating pilot areas and then expanding to the entire organization; To advance with the improvement cycles as a way of acting jointly throughout the company, instilling a spirit of continuous and permanent improvement throughout the entire value chain; Follow-up model – based on the “Balanced Scorecard” tool, and showing the four perspectives of management indicators (financial,
customers, internal processes and learning and growth), and raising the level of management performance; integration between areas and improvement of reference values; and Evolution according to the EFQM model, which allows us to measure and follow over time the qualitative and quantitative improvements, as well as the technical and behavioural aspects.

We came to the Lean Management Model (LMM) through the program called “Lean Transformation”, based on the implementation of the PDCA and focused on the triangle base of the action (Purpose, Processes and People), improving management indicators in values between 25% and 50%, from productivity, material flows and information flows.

We evaluated the Lean implementation levels according to the LAI (LEI Advancement Initiative) Model, through the six parameters of the defined scale: (1) Involvement of People; (2) Value Creation; (3) Value Chain; (4) Flow of Processes; (5) Notion of “PULL”; (6) Index of Perfection, obtaining (66%) of the level of implementation, considering the scale we will have (34%) more opportunity for improvement.

The Behavioural Performance was and is being supported in the development of the leadership capacities of all the coordinators and directors of the company and in the management of competences, of the knowledge and the talent of all the people, through actions of Coaching that methodologically based on the cycles of experimentation, training and practice of processes. The “KATA Coaching” improvement cycles, according to Rother & Shook (2003), are based on the Production Management System created for the company and on process flow optimization tools.

Leadership – is a topic with particular importance in this process of transformation and in this case in particular through changes in the shareholder body, generational changes and especially by the inclusion of the professional management team. In fact, the transition from leadership to most functional and operational teams was also promoted (Quinn, 2000), from the normal state to the ground state, seeking to focus on teamwork, joint coordination and cohesion, focusing on others rather than on themselves, being more client-oriented and flexible rather than internally focused only on control activities (Watkins, 2007). The leadership approach is considered in a value chain, as a model for
the management and follow-up of the evolution of the company's processes, supported by the leadership action plan that concretely acts in the strategic, tactical and operational variants, always managing the creation flows of value: (i) productive; (ii) materials; (iii) information (Yukl, 2002).

Strategy and Unfolding – We believe that the best solution would be to treat the theme under three fundamental points of action and implementation: (1) The business chain, supported by the concepts of diversity and segmentation of markets and Ansoff products in (Kotler & Keller, 2014), including a geographical criterion; (2) Competitiveness of the company, through the good management of inventories, costs and waste of activities; (3) Quality, improving Prevention and Evaluation procedures, managing and following internal and external failures, naturally centred on customer complaints and nonconformities of processes.

Management Indicators that allow us to naturally follow the evolution of results and simultaneously stimulate the setting of objectives, indicators of competitiveness, quantitative and qualitative indicators have been developed, as well as operational, economic and financial indicators. We also change here the management position of the company at all levels, considering the contribution of people and areas to the overall results. It reflects the strategy's unfolding, based on its valorisation and follow-up, through the integrated monitoring elements, supported by the Scorecard model (Basu, 2011), and the integration of management tools.

We conclude definitively that modern management systems can adapt to centennial organizations and function in perfect harmony with the experience demonstrated over time, considering that the critical success factors are based on the formation and transformation of leadership styles, and effective management programs communication (Mann, 2016).

Portuguese Culture is of excellent performance whenever people are called to participate and this process of good communication is carried out consistently.

The performance is often based on creativity, considering the behavioural binomial of using local thinking to develop global action strategies (Lopes, 2016).
However, we understand that there is a reserve of productivity, that the performance of the business fabric is associated with low levels of effectiveness, which can be resolved with the application of global management models such as Lean Management (Lopes, 2016).
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