

Reviewing procedure

The review procedure follows the Ministry of Science and Higher Education guidelines.

The authors submitting their work for publication in the journal agree to the reviewing procedure.

1. Submitted texts – in the process of so called preliminary assessment – are evaluated by the members of the editorial office, according to formal criteria and the subject matter. If there are no negative comments, the article is sent to two independent external reviewers, experts in the given academic field, who are not members of the editorial board of the journal and who have at least the title of PhD, associate professor in the case of Polish reviewers. Submitted works will not be sent to reviewers from the same academic centre as the author(s) of the article. The editors also ensure that there are no conflicts of interest between any of the reviewers and the author(s). Reviewers are asked to sign the **Conflict of Interest Statement**.
2. In the case of texts written in a foreign language, at least one of the reviewers is affiliated with a foreign institution in a country other than that of the authors nationality.
3. The reviews are anonymous and confidential (according to double-blind review process). The reviewers, in addition to their substantive comments, mark on the review form their clear conclusion as to whether the article should be published, which should be binding for the editorial office.
 - the text is suitable for publication in its present form;
 - the text is suitable for publication after minor revision;
 - the text requires major revision and new external review;
 - the text should be rejected.

Article Review form - download the form

4. Reviewers are not allowed to make use of any information from the paper prior to its publication.
5. The submitted work is assigned an editorial number, by which it will be identified in the subsequent stages of the publishing process.
6. Each article presenting results of empirical research will also be considered by statistical editor.
7. After the review, the author(s) will be informed of the results of the evaluation; it is possible to exchange letters with the editorial office concerning reviewers; suggestions and approval for publication.
8. The final decision regarding publication is made by the Editor-in-Chief.

Reviewers:

prof. Rafael Hernandez Barros – Universidad Complutense de Madrid

prof. dr hab Małgorzata Bartosik-Purgat – Poznan University of Economics

dr Yuxiang Bian – East China University of Political Science and Law, Business School

prof. Tatyana Fotina – Sumy National Agrarian University

prof. Hinojosa Pérez Anatolia Hortencia – Universidad Católica Santa María

dr Monika Jedynak – Jagiellonian University

prof. dr. hab. Jan Jeżak – University of Lodz

prof. Jerry Lewis – Clark University

dr doc. ing. Vanda Maráková – Matej Bel University in Banska Bystrzyca

prof. dr hab Barbara Mazur – Bialystok University of Technology

prof. dr hab. Jurij Raszkiewicz – Lviv Polytechnic National University

prof. Robert Ristino – Clark University

prof. Paulo Cesar Chagas Rodrigues – Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia de São Paulo

prof. dr hab. Małgorzata Rozkwitalska – University of Gdańsk

prof. John Chetro Szivos – Fitchburg State University

prof. dr hab. Czesław Zając – Wrocław University of Economics

dr Svitlana Zapara – Sumy National Agrarian University